**Highlights\***

1. Include 3 to 5 bullet points that convey the main findings of the work.
2. Methods and results are not “findings,” so they should not appear as highlights.
3. Bullets are limited to a maximum of 85 characters, including spaces.
4. Bullets should be complete sentences, not sentence fragments.
5. Highlights should be able to stand alone, so avoid acronyms or specialized terms.

\* Please note: Highlights are not part of the manuscript document but should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system.  Please use “Highlights” in the file name.

Also, in the submission’s cover letter, authors should clearly state what are the key contributions of their work and why their contributions are novel and worthy of journal consideration.

**The Title Should Be Concise without Unfamiliar Terms or Acronyms or Subjective Terms, such as “Novel” or “Innovative”**

List all authorsa in a comma-delimited list\*, with their respective affiliationsb footnoted immediately belowc

a Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. Most COMPAG papers have three to six authors (please refer to the Author Statement guidance on the last page of this document).

b Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

c Authors are expected to carefully consider the list and order of authors **before** submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion, or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only **before** the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor.

**\*** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**

**Abstract**

A concise and factual Abstract is required, which should not be longer than 400 words. An Abstract should succinctly summarize a paper’s content, including: the purpose of the research, the methods employed, principal results, and major conclusions/findings. Results should be described in specific terms, using numbers where appropriate and avoiding statements such as, “Results of our tests were good” or “Implications are discussed.” Because an Abstract provides a summary of the paper, there is no need to include a summary section or paragraph near the end of the paper, e.g., in the Conclusions section. An Abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, literature references should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, nonstandard or uncommon abbreviations or acronyms should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the Abstract itself.

***Keywords.*** Provide a maximum of six keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, “and,” “of”). Use abbreviations sparing; only abbreviations (or acronyms) firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

1. **Introduction**

An Introduction should contain three components: (1) one or more paragraphs describing the problem, which justifies, and provides a context for, the research, (2) a concise review of pertinent past international research related to the problem and how the current study expects to extend those prior results, and (3) objectives for the current research program and/or current study reported (possibly along with a “roadmap” of the paper that previews its contents for the reader). The literature review portion (#2 above) should include author synthesis and evaluation of past research, rather than solely enumerating a list of past studies. The authors should clearly describe gaps in the current state of the science, and how the current paper will contribute something new to the scientific body of knowledge (i.e., mitigate or eliminate knowledge gaps). Detailed methods, or results, of the current study should not appear here. With such a logical structure and appropriate segue sentences, subheadings are unnecessary. There is also no need for a separate Related Work or Literature Review or Background section.

Manuscripts should be prepared with consecutive line numbering (required), with wide margins and double-spacing throughout (required), i.e., also for abstracts, footnotes, and references. Every page of the manuscript, including the title page, references, tables, etc. should be numbered. However, in the text, no reference should be made to page numbers; if necessary, one may refer to sections. Avoid excessive use of italics to emphasize parts of the text.

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the Abstract is not included in section numbering). Also, use this numbering for internal cross-referencing; do not just refer to “the text.” Every subsection should be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

The use of acronyms is merely a shorthand convenience. Their use does not relieve authors from the responsibility of matching noun-verb case, and adjective-noun case. If “UAV” is defined as singular, for example “unmanned aerial vehicle,” then all uses of that acronym should be singular, and the plural form would be "UAVs.” If one defines an acronym in the plural case, the recommended way to do so is by adding an “s” at the end, as in, “…unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs)…” to facilitate using the acronym both as singular (UAV) and plural (UAVs).  While acronyms appear in capital letters, the terms they represent should not generally be capitalized unless they are proper nouns, e.g., “unmanned aerial vehicle,” “deep learning,” and “convolutional neural network” are not proper nouns. Acronyms that are used (and necessary) in the Abstract and in the manuscript proper need to be defined in both places. Also, acronyms should NOT be used in the title, keywords, or highlights, unless they are readily understood by the readership. Finally, acronyms should ONLY be defined for *terms used frequently* in a manuscript; do not define an acronym for a term that is only used a few times (especially in the Abstract). A manuscript containing many acronyms is difficult for the readership, as they must actively translate unfamiliar acronyms back to the terms they represent.

1. **Materials and Methods**

This section is necessary if your paper involves experimentation. Because this section is the most individual aspect of most papers, authors should use sufficient headings and subheadings to properly organize and clearly describe the conduct of the research. Sufficient detail should be provided to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods already published should be indicated by a reference; only relevant modifications should be described.

However, work published in Computers and Electronics in Agriculture will often not comprise a straightforward experimental investigation or testing of a hypothesis. Therefore, rather than Materials and Methods, other section headings may be appropriate.  
e.g., one or more of:  
Design Requirements  
Measurement Requirements  
Control Requirements  
Specification of …  
Development of …  
Software Development  
A section titled, “Performance Evaluation” or “Validation” or “Assessment” may then be appropriate.

1. **Results**

A Results section is expected for all experimental work; although, depending on the Methods section, an alternative Results section heading might be more appropriate. Results should be clear and concise; and report only your work, i.e., comparisons with others’ works from cited literature should be set out in the subsequent section, Discussion. A combined Results and Discussion section may be appropriate, but if this is adopted, it is essential to maintain clarity regarding which results/achievements are your work and which are the work of others. Because detailed results often appear in tables and figures, there is no need to duplicate specific values in the text of the paper—except, perhaps, for emphasis or to provide interpretation or elaboration.

Numerical results provide objective evidence related to the outcomes of reported research. However, such experimental outcomes always involve some randomness and so produce ranges of values. Statistical analyses are the only way to distinguish such randomness from meaningful responses that can support the study’s conclusions.

1. **Discussion**

The Discussion section should organize, interpret, and evaluate the study’s results. Discussion (interpretation/evaluation) properly positions the obtained results within the context of the problem, practical considerations, and limitations of the study. It is recommended to compare and contrast the current results to those results reported by others.

1. **Conclusions**

As noted above, the Conclusions section should *not* summarize the paper’s contents; neither should it reiterate methods and results. A Conclusions section should succinctly present the research study's findings (What did we learn? What new knowledge has been uncovered? How has the state of the art been advanced? What new research directions or studies are suggested by the current findings?). Bulleted or numbered lists should be avoided, as concluding statements most often require additional explanation to properly condition their applicability or relevance. This section stands as the most important part of the paper, as it establishes the significance of the work reported.
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